# Molecular Distinction of Citrus *Phytophthora* Isolates in the Lower Rio Grande Valley of Texas

# Madhurababu Kunta, Miao Hongqin, and Mani Skaria

Texas A&M University-Kingsville Citrus Center, 312 N. International Blvd, Weslaco

## ABSTRACT

*Phytophthora* infections causing foot rot, root rot, and gummosis in citrus are common in the Lower Rio Grande Valley (LRGV) of Texas. No previous studies have been conducted in Texas to characterize this fungus using molecular methods. We used the polymerase chain reaction (PCR) to characterize twenty two *Phytophthora* isolates from several root and soil samples. First round PCR with Ph2 and ITS4 primers resulted in a 700 base pair (bp) fragment. Primers Pn5B-Pn6 and Pc2B-Pc7 are highly specific for *P. nicotianae* Breda de Haan and *P. citrophthora* (R. E. Smith and E. H. Smith) Leonian, respectively. A highly sensitive nested PCR produced a 120bp fragment with primers Pn5B-Pn6 and no amplification with primers Pc2B-Pc7. This fragment was sequenced and a similarity search at GenBank showed 100% identity with *P. nicotianae*. These results reveal that *P. nicotianae* is the most prevalent species in LRGV citrus and it confirms the results of non-molecular identification completed previously.

Additional Index Words: internal transcribed spacer, ribosomal DNA, polymerase chain reaction.

The Greek word Phytophthora means 'destroyer of plants.' This is a major plant pathogenic fungus with a wide range of hosts including agronomic and horticultural crops. Around 60 species have been reported that cause economic losses which account for billions of dollars world wide (Erwin and Ribeiro, 1996). This fungus can infect almost all parts of the citrus plant, causing root rot, foot rot and gummosis of the trunk, damping-off of seedlings, leaf blight, and brown rot of fruit. P. nicotianae Breda de Haan and P. citrophthora (R. E. Smith and E. H. Smith) Leonian are the most prevalent species (Menge and Nemec, 1997). P. citrophthora was also reported to be the causal agent of collar rot on pear trees in Greece, suggesting that P. citrophthora from citrus may pose a risk to pear (Elena and Paplomatas, 1999). Recently, P. palmivora (Butler) Butler was identified as the causal agent of citrus brown rot outbreaks in Florida (Graham et al., 1998).

Identification of *Phytophthora* to species level is crucial in developing quarantine methods, disease control, and disease resistance breeding. Traditional identification methods based on morphological characteristics using the microscope are tedious, require expertise, and sometimes may lead to wrong identification. Several molecular approaches such as isozyme analysis, restriction fragment length polymorphism (RFLP), randomly amplified polymorphic DNA (RAPDs), single-strandconformation polymorphism of rDNA, and PCR of internal transcribed spacers (ITS) have been used in identifying some of the oomycetes (Foster et al., 1995; Ristaino et al., 1998; Kong et al., 2003; Cooke et al., 2000). Techniques like enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay are sensitive, but incapable of identifying *Phytophthora* down to the species level (Timmer et al., 1993).

Development of PCR-based genetic markers to obtain and sequence species-specific DNA fragments for P. nicotianae and P. citrophthora was reported (Ersek et al., 1994). The PCR technique requires very small amounts of target DNA; it is rapid, reliable, and applicable to different phytopathological research needs. The use of PCR primers based on nucleotide sequence of the ITS region of Phytophthora for identification of this fungus in citrus is well described (Ippolito et al., 2002). This system of ITS-based Phytophthora identification has more advantages compared to other methods since the ITS region of rDNA is well characterized, rDNA evolve in a neutral manner at a rate which often approximates to the rate and it has proven successful in of speciation, distinguishing fungal taxa (Bruns et al., 1991; Lee and Taylor, 1992). These ITS regions are highly variable and can be used to distinguish and relate closely related organisms such as different species in the same Recently, a molecular phylogeny genus. of Phytophthora and related oomycetes was constructed based on the ITS sequences of rDNA (Cooke et al., 2000). The objective of our research is to detect and characterize Phytophthora spp. infecting citrus in the LRGV with a PCR technique using primers designed by Ippolito et al. (2002) and to confirm the results with standard culture morphology.

### MATERIALS AND METHODS

Fungal material. We used a baiting technique with grapefruit (C. paradisi Macf.) leaves to isolate the fungus from soil and root samples collected from citrus trees in McAllen, Mission, Weslaco, Catarina, and Houston. One milliliter of soil suspension and leaf discs were also transferred to selective agar media plates with a final concentration of antibiotics 10mg L<sup>-1</sup> Pimaricin (Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO), 200 mg.L<sup>-1</sup> Vancomycin (Sigma-Aldrich), 100 mg.L<sup>-1</sup> PCNB (Sigma-Aldrich), and 50 mg.L<sup>-1</sup> Hymexazole (Sigma-Aldrich). These plates were incubated at room temperature in the dark for a week. The colonies showing morphological characteristics of Phytophthora were observed under a microscope. For DNA extraction, the fungus was grown at 24°C in a 10 mL water culture.

**DNA extraction.** DNA was extracted from several root samples using DNeasy plant mini kit (Qiagen Inc, Valencia, CA) according to manufacturer's instructions. DNA was also extracted from fungal mycelium using Master pure Yeast DNA purification kit (Epicentre, Madison, WI).

Polymerase chain reaction (PCR). PCR was performed in a total volume of  $25\mu$ L containing 100ng DNA, 2mL 10X PCR buffer (Tris. HCl, KCl, (NH<sub>4</sub>)<sub>2</sub>SO4, 15mM MgCl<sub>2</sub>; pH 8.7), 0.2 mM each of dNTPs,  $2\mu$ M each of Ph2 and ITS4 primers, and 1U Hot star Taq DNA polymerase (Qiagen Inc.). The reaction was incubated in the DNA engine dyad Peltier thermal cycler (Bio-Rad laboratories, Hercules, CA). PCR reaction temperatures were 95°C for 15 min, followed by 35 cycles of 94°C for 30 sec, 51°C for 30 sec, and 72°C for 1 min. A nested PCR was also conducted using 1 $\mu$ L of first round PCR product as a template with primers Pn5B-Pn6 and Pc2B-Pc7. The PCR conditions for the nested PCR were the same as the first round PCR, except the annealing temperature of 55°C. The PCR products were run on 1% agarose gels prepared in tris-acetate-EDTA (TAE) buffer, stained with ethidium bromide and visualized under ultraviolet (UV) light.

**Cloning and sequencing.** The 120bp fragment amplified in the nested PCR with primers Pn5B-Pn6 was cut from the gel, purified using Qiaquick gel extraction kit (Qiagen Inc), cloned into pCR4-TOPO vector (Invitrogen Corporation, Carlsbad, CA), and sequenced (MWG-Biotech Inc, High Point, NC). The DNA sequence was compared to the GenBank database for homology.

#### **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

The results from the screening of *Phytophthora* isolates from citrus trees in McAllen. Mission. Weslaco, Catarina, and Houston are summarized in Table 1. First round PCR with Ph2 and ITS4 primers resulted in amplification of a 700bp fragment (Fig. 1A.). This fragment includes 5.8S rDNA gene and ITS2 region, common for 14 species of Phytophthora (Ippolito et al., 2002). The nested PCR with primers Pn5B-Pn6 amplified a 120bp fragment and primers Pc2B-Pc7 did not amplify any fragment (Fig. 1B.). Primers Pn5B-Pn6 and Pc2B-Pc7 are specific for P. nicotianae and P. citrophthora, respectively. These primers are highly specific as the target DNA is embedded within the first round PCR product (Ippolito et al., 2002). The sequence of the 120bp fragment is shown in Fig. 2. Similarity search for this nucleotide sequence with the GenBank database showed 100% identity with P. nicotianae ribosomal ITS2 (accession: Y08674). This PCR technique is convenient as the target DNA could be amplified with high specificity

 Table 1. Identification of Phytophthora isolates from citrus in Texas by PCR.

Sample collection site	Collection dates	No. of isolates	Amplification with primers			Species identification
			a	b	с	
Mission	May 2005-Feb. 2006	6	+	+	-	P. nicotianae
McAllen	Jan. 2006	2	+	+	-	P. nicotianae
Weslaco	Sept. 2005-Oct. 2005	12	+	+	-	P. nicotianae
Catarina	March 2006	1	+	+	-	P. nicotianae
Houston	May 2006	1	+	+	-	P. nicotianae

PCR Primers designed by Ippolito et al., 2002. a: Ph2-ITS4, b: Pn5B-Pn6, and c: Pc2B-Pc7.



Fig. 1. Agarose gels showing amplification products of *Phytophthora* using specific PCR primers.

- A: Lane 1-3 amplicons (700 bp) with primers Ph2-ITS4.
- B: Lane 1-2 amplicons (120 bp) with primers Pn5B-Pn6 and Lane 3-4 amplicons with primers Pc2B-Pc7.
   M: 100 bp DNA ladder plus.

Fig. 2. The sequence of the 120bp fragment from ITS2 region of Phytophthora nicotianae

directly from the root samples. Results from *Phytophthora* assessed using selective medium are consistent with molecular analysis.

In California, P. nicotianae infection was reported to be predominant in summer and P. citrophthora in winter (Menge et al., 1988; Dirac et al., 2003). It was reported that a similar situation exists in southwestern and central parts of Arizona, where both fungi are important pathogens of citrus (Matheron et al., 1997). Temperature was attributed as the primary factor in the seasonality of infection and the abundance of a particular species. It was reported that the temperatures of 31°C and 26°C are optimum for the growth of *P. nicotianae* (Fawcett, 1936) and *P.* citrophthora (Dirac et al., 2003) respectively. Perhaps the high temperatures in Texas may be congenial for the growth of P. nicotianae compared to P. citrophthora. Moreover, it was previously reported that P. nicotianae is the predominant pathogen in Texas (Timmer, 1972, 1973) and Florida (Timmer et al., 1993).

In LRGV citrus, it is not uncommon to see trees dying with Phytophthora infection. Recently, a pestdisease complex, Diaprepes-Phytophthora was reported in the LRGV (Skaria and French, 2001). Here, several affected orange trees died quickly in 4-5 weeks showing symptoms of leaf wilt, yellowing, and defoliation. D. abbreviatus (L.) is a root weevil, native to the Caribbean region and was accidentally introduced into Florida in 1964 in a shipment of ornamental plants from Puerto Rico (Woodruff, 1968). It poses a serious threat to the citrus industry in that state and the management cost for the Diaprepes-Phytophthora complex was estimated to be as high as \$500 to 600 per hectare (Muraro, 2000). The larvae feed on fibrous roots and the resulting root damage predisposes the root system to infection by Phytophthora spp. It was found that the management of Diaprepes-Phytophthora complex in a citrus orchard depends on which *Phytophthora* sp. is present and whether the soil and water conditions are conducive to the fungus (Graham et al., 2003, Rogers et al., 1996).

The potential threat posed by *Phytophthora* and *Diaprepes-Phytophthora* complex in LRGV citrus necessitates a simple and accurate method of *Phytophthora* detection. Based on the results of our experiments, *P. nicotianae* is the most prevalent species in LRGV citrus. The name, *P. nicotianae* was described in 1896 from Indonesia by van Breda de Hann and *P. parasitica* in 1913 from India by Dastur. Detailed comparisons by several researchers have shown that these two names were being applied to a single species. The nomenclature priority goes to the

first description, and therefore, the name *P. nicotianae* has precedence over *P. parasitica*.

#### LITERATURE CITED

- Bruns, T. D., T. J. White, and J. W. Taylor. 1991. Fungal molecular systematics. Annu. Rev. Ecol. Syst. 22:525–564.
- Cooke, D. E. L., A. Drenth, J. M. Duncan, G. Wagels, and C. M. Brasier. 2000. A molecular phylogeny of *Phytophthora* and related Oomycetes. Fungal Genet. Biol. 30:17-32.
- Dirac, M. F., M. A. Madore, and J. A. Menge. 2003. Comparison of seasonal infection of citrus roots by *Phytophthora citrophthora* and *P. nicotianae* var. parasitica. Plant Dis. 87:493-501.
- Elena, K. and E. J. Paplomatas. 1999. Collar rot caused by *Phytophthora citrophthora* on pear trees in Greece. Phytoparasitica 27:1-8.
- Erwin, D. C. and O. K. Ribeiro. 1996. *Phytophthora* diseases worldwide. 562p. APS Press, St Paul, Minn.
- Ersek, T., J. E. Schoeltz, and J. T. English. 1994. PCR amplification of species-specific DNA sequences can distinguish among *Phytophthora* species. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 60:2616–2621.
- Fawcett, H. S. 1936. Citrus diseases and their control. McGraw-Hill Book Co. Inc., New York, NY.
- Foster, H., G. Learn, and M. D. Cojey. 1995. Towards a better understanding of the evolutionary history of the species of the genus *Phytophthora* using isozymes, DNA RFLP's, and rDNA spacer sequences. p. 42-54. In: L. J. Dowley, E. Bannon, L. R. Cooke, T. Keane, and E. O'Sullivan (eds.). *Phytophthora* 150. European Assn. for Potato Res., Boole, Ltd., Dublin, Ireland.
- Graham, J. H., L. W. Timmer, D. L. Drouillard, and T. L. Peever. 1998. Characterization of *Phytophthora* spp. causing outbreaks of citrus brown rot in Florida. Phytopathology 88:724-729.
- Graham, J.H., D.B. Bright, and C.W. McCoy. 2003. *Phytophthora-Diaprepes* weevil complex: *Phytophthora* spp. relationship with citrus rootstocks. Plant Dis. 87:85-90.
- Ippolito, A., L, Schena, and F, Nigro. 2002. Detection of *Phytophthora nicotianae* and *P. citrophthora* in citrus roots and soils by nested PCR. European J. Plant Pathol. 108: 855-868.
- Kong, P., C. Hong, P. A. Richardson, and M. E. Gallegly. 2003. Single-strand-conformation polymorphism of ribosomal DNA for rapid species differentiation in genus *Phytophthora*. Fungal Genet. Biol. 39:238-249.

- Lee, S. B. and J. W. Taylor. 1992. Phylogeny of five fungus-like protoctistan *Phytophthora* species, inferred from internal transcribed spacers of ribosomal DNA. Mol. Biol. Evolution. 9:636– 653.
- Matheron, M. E., M. Porchas, and J. C. Matejka. 1997. Distribution and seasonal population dynamics of *Phytophthora citrophthora* and *P. parasitica* in Arizona citrus orchards and effect of fungicides on tree health. Plant Dis. 81:1384-1390.
- Menge, J. A. and S. Nemec. 1997. Citrus. p.185-227. In: Hillocks, R, I. and J, M, Miller. (eds.) Soilborne Diseases of Tropical Crops. CAB International, Wallingford, UK.
- Menge, J. A., E. V. Johnson, E. Pond, D. Ferrin, H. Liu, A. Lutz, M. Strother, D. Bartnicki, U. Afek, and J. Sjoerdsma. 1988. Distribution and frequency of *Phytophthora parasitica* and *P. citrophthora* associated with root rot of citrus in California. (Abstr.). Phytopathology 78:1676.
- Muraro, R. P. 2000. Cost benefit analysis for controlling *Diaprepes*. p. 105-110. In: S. H. Futch (ed.). Proc. *Diaprepes* Short Course. Univ. Fla. IFAS Coop. Ext. Serv. Lake Alfred, Fla.
- Ristaino, J.B., M. Madritch, C. L. Trout, and G. Parra. 1998. PCR amplification of ribosomal DNA for species identification in the plant pathogen genus Phytophthora. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 64:948-54.

- Rogers, S., J. H. Graham, and C. W. McCoy. 1996. Insect-plant pathogen interactions: Preliminary studies of *Diaprepes* root weevil injuries and *Phytophthora* infections. Proc. Fla. State Hort. Soc. 109:57-62.
- Skaria, M. and J. V. French. 2001. *Phytophthora* disease of citrus associated with root weevils in Texas. Phytopathology 91(6) Supplement: S203.
- Timmer, L. W. 1972. Management of soilborne diseases of citrus in the Lower Rio Grande Valley. J. Rio Grande Valley Hort. Soc. 26:44-58.
- Timmer, L. W. 1973. Characteristics of *Phytophthora* isolates from Texas citrus orchards. J. Rio Grande Valley Hort. Soc. 27:44-48.
- Timmer, L. A., J. A. Menge, S. E. Zitko, E. Pond, S. A. Miller, and E. L. Johnson. 1993. Comparison of ELISA techniques and standard isolation methods for *Phytophthora* detection in citrus orchards in Florida and California. Plant Dis. 77:791-796.
- Woodruff, R. E. 1968. The present status of a West Indian weevil (*Diaprepes abbreviatus* (L.)) in Florida (Coleoptera: Curculionidae). Fla. Dept. of Agr. Div. Plant Ind. Entomol. Publ. 77.